IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

15 ll

19∥

21 22

23 | 24 |

25 II

26

27 l

GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA BERI, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

TATA CONSULTANCY SERVICES, LTD, an Indian Corporation; and TATA SONS, LTD, an Indian Corporation,

Defendants.

No. C 06-0963 CW

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS' EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION (Docket No. 254)

On April 25, 2011, Plaintiffs Gopi Vedachalam and Kangani 14 Beri filed a Motion for Class Certification. The briefing and hearing schedule were continued twice following requests by the |16| parties. On September 12, 2011, the Court granted Defendants ten additional pages for their opposition and Plaintiffs ten additional pages for their reply. On September 16, 2011, Defendants filed their opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class $20\parallel$ certification. On October 28, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a twentyfive page reply to Defendants' opposition. On the same day, Plaintiffs also filed a separate twenty-five page motion to strike certain pieces of evidence submitted by Defendants with their opposition. Between their reply brief and the motion to strike, Plaintiffs have filed a total of fifty pages of text.

Plaintiffs' motion to strike violated Local Rule 7-3(c), which states, "Any evidentiary and procedural objections to the opposition must be contained within the reply brief or

memorandum." Because Plaintiffs have been granted ten additional pages, the reply brief, including any evidentiary and procedural objections, may not exceed twenty-five pages in total. See Local Rule 7-3(c) & 7-4(b) (reply briefs may not exceed fifteen pages of text).

Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Plaintiffs' motion to strike (Docket No. 254). Plaintiffs are granted leave to amend and refile their reply brief, incorporating any evidentiary objections or responses to such objections. Plaintiffs' refiled reply is due Thursday, November 3, 2011.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/2/2011

United States District Judge